



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 114596-20-4009

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 09/434,394 Confirmation No.: 3898
Applicant: John S. Yates, Jr., et al.
Title: DETECTING REORDERED SIDE-EFFECTS
Filed: November 4, 1999
Art Unit: 2192
Examiner: H.V. Nguyen Ba
Atty. Docket: 114596-20-4009
Customer No. 38492

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Applicant hereby responds to the Office Action of May 20, 2005.

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Applicant petitions for a one-month extension of time to respond to the Office Action of May 20, 2005, to and including September 20, 2005.

Kindly charge the petition fee to Deposit Account No. 23-2405, Order No. 114596-20-4009.

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS are set forth in the Appendix to this paper.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS begin on page 1 of this paper.

I certify that this correspondence, along with any documents referred to therein, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on September 20, 2005 as First Class Mail in an envelope with sufficient postage addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

114596-20-4009

SAN 09/434,394

2994636.1




TABLE OF CONTENTS

Real Party in Interest.....	1
II. Related Appeals and Interferences.....	1
III. Status of Claims	1
IV. Status of Amendments	1
V. Informal Summary of the Subject Matter	1
VI. Argument	2
A. Issues Relating to the '379 Patent.....	2
1. Incomplete Analysis.....	2
2. Improper and Incorrect Assertion of Inherency.....	7
3. Failure to "Answer All Material Traversed"	8
4. Incomplete Claims	9
5. Request Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.104(d)(2).....	9
B. Unidentified Issue Relating to the '181 Patent	9
1. The Office Action Does Not Identify Any Legal Basis.....	9
2. Erroneous Reliance on the Specification of the Prior Patent.....	10
3. Incomplete Identification Of Differences	10
4. Failure To Make Any Showing Of Obviousness Of A Differing "Sequence Of Side Effects"	12
5. Incomplete And Incorrect Assertions Of "Inherency"	12
VII. Dependent claims.....	12
VIII. Conclusion	13